MANTATEE COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE  
MCSO RFP-2017-18-001-HELICOPTER  
ADDENDUM #2

1. Request for extension to several dates with the response schedule:
   - Request the Vendor Clarification Period / Questions Due date to be moved to 31May2017 at 4:00 p.m.
     Request granted. Vendors may request questions and clarifications until May 31, 2017 at 4:00 p.m.
   - Add an additional date for the Delivery of Manatee County responses to Questions on 5June2017
     Manatee County Sheriff’s Office (MCSO) will respond to questions and clarifications as quickly as possible, with multiple Addenda being released. While it is hoped that all questions will be addressed prior to June 5, 2017, there may be something that requires a delay. Therefore MCSO cannot commit to a deadline for responding to questions.
   - Request the Closing / Proposal Due Date be slipped 7 days to 14June2017 at 12:00 p.m.
     MCSO has already pushed the due date back to June 7 from the initially published due date of June 2. We will adjust the due date to June 12 at 12:00 p.m. That is the absolute most we can push back the date as we have major scheduling restraints during the summer months.

2. Attachment A, 4.2: Does the requirement for “Self-sealing/crash worthy fuel tanks” refer to ballistic tolerant fuel tanks? Do crash worthy fuel tanks satisfy the requirement?
   This question has been referred to the Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) for clarification. A response will be forthcoming.

3. Attachment A, 2.12: Requirement 2.12 calls out a Preliminary Weight and Balance Calculation Appendix B but no Appendix B was included in the RFP. Is Purchaser requiring a Preliminary Weight and Balance calculation be submitted with the proposal?
   This question has been referred to the Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) for clarification. A response will be forthcoming.

4. Section A.3.: Is the Vendor required to submit a completed Statement of Work (SOW) as the part of the proposal response?
   Vendor is not required to submit a formal SOW as part of the proposal response. There are components of the RFP that must be included in both the proposal response AND the SOW. Vendors are encouraged to provide as much corresponding detail in the proposal as possible. Vendor must ensure that responses in the proposal will be the same as will be provided in the SOW, with the SOW providing specific detail as outlined in Attachment C.
5. **Section B.2:** Under Tab 5 the Vendor is required to submit audited financial statements. Is this included in the 5-page limit or can it be considered an attachment?  
   Documents listed in #2 under Tab 5 of Section B.2 are NOT included in the 5-page limit for the Executive Summary detailed in #1 of Tab 5 requirements. The documents should be included as required after the Executive Summary behind Tab 5.

6. **Section B.3:** Under Tab 1, the Vendor is required to submit audited financial statements which is a duplication of Section B.2, Tab 5 requirement. Is this included in the 5-page limit or can it be considered an attachment? Can the financials be submitted in one place in the proposal versus both?  
   MCSO is asking Vendors to submit two copies of the audited financial statements – one in each location. Having the documents in the two separate binders will provide a more thorough review of Vendor qualifications. The 10-page limit in Section B.3, Tab 1 does not apply to the audited financial statement.

5. **Attachment C, Articles 4.12:** Can the Vendor provide a Corporate Guarantee instead of sureties? This would reduce the price to MCSO.  
   This question has been referred to General Counsel. A response will be forthcoming.

6. **Section B.2:** Under Tab 5, what documentation is required to evidence current registration to conduct business in the State of Florida? Is this the complete “New Vendor Request”?  
   Vendors should include a copy of the document that indicates they have registered with the State of Florida to do business in the state. It can be found on [Sunbiz.org](http://Sunbiz.org). (The link will go directly to the entity look-up page.)

7. **Section C.2:** Would any potential demos be required to be conducted at the MCSO’s facility or the Vendor’s facility?  
   Yes. Shortlisted Vendors will be invited to come to Manatee County for a two day interview and demonstration. The site being considered for the demonstration is the Public Safety Center (PSC) where the helicopter will be housed in the event of a hurricane. Vendors should tentatively plan to be on-site for two full days and be prepared to demo the proposed aircraft to include both day and night flight time. The interview dates are now pushed back from the date on the RFP notice. **The new tentative dates for planning purposes are July 20 through July 28.**

8. **Attachment B:** There is no field for the unit pricing of the “CFE Pricing”. Does this field need to be added by the Vendor?  
   To make the pricing as clear as possible, MCSO is providing a revised version of Attachment B to include a column for CFE unit pricing.